

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,207	10/06/2000	Dimitri Kanevsky	YOR9-2000-0242-US1		
7:	590 10/06/2003		EXAM	INER	
Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			FRECH, KARL D		
Ohlandt, Greele	ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.	L.P.			
10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Landmark Square			2876	2876	
Stamford CT					

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·				- Win
•	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
_ i	09/684,207		KANEVSKY ET AL	- .
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Karl D Frech		2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app P riod for Reply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory will apply and will expired the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. ommunication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 J</u>	luly 2003 .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non	-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims				e merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 43-47</u> is/are pending in the	application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav		eration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 43-47</u> are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requi	rement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 December 2000</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepte	ed or b) Objected t	o by the Examine	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				·
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		•	ved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	amıner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (†).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
Certified copies of the priority documents	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents				04
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	• •			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper Not Patent Application (PT	
	 			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/684,207

Art Unit: 2876

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/18/2003 has been entered.
- 2. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 47 is dependent from cancelled claim 35 (amendment of 1/8/2003) and as such is incomplete.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/684,207

Art Unit: 2876

- 5. Claims s 1-17,43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al 5,742,039 in view of Johnson et al 5,991,876. Sato and Johnson disclose the elements as seen in the previous office action and remain combinable for the same reasons as previously set forth. Sato and Johnson fail to teach or disclose affixing a semiconductor device to a book as now claimed. Garber et al 6,486,780 teaches throughout a RF tag insertable (affixable) to a book. There is disclosed a memory device (semiconductor device) for maintaining records regarding the book. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the system of Garber and replace the label of Sato/Johnson with the RF tag of Garber. This would allow for constant tracking of the location of a book within a facility and for automatic updating of the records regarding the book.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17,43-46 (and related elements of new claim 47) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Please note that the examiner and TC Art Unit in which this application is being examined have changed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Frech whose telephone number is (703) 305

3491. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (703) 305 3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/684,207

Art Unit: 2876

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

Karl D Frech Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876
